Sri R. M. PATIL.—It is not so, Sir. It was a good number.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Question our is over.

QUESTIONS FOR ANSWERS ON THE DAY
(but not taken up)

Damages Caused to the Villagers Due to Floods in Hirehalla.

*Q.—35. Sri KUNDUR RUDRAPPA (Channagiri).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether they have received representation from the Talk Development Board and the aggrieved villages stating that Hirehalla is causing damages to the villagers due to floods ; and if so action taken thereon ;

(*b*) whether the Minister concerned has not assured immediate relief to the people?

*A.— Sri ALUR HANUMANTHAPPA (Deputy Minister for Minor Irrigation.—

(*a*) Yes. Flood banks were put up to protect the lands.

(*b*) Yes. The Minor Investigation Division, Shimoga, is conducting investigation.

Starting of a tourist centre at Santisagar.

*Q.—39. Sri KUNDUR RUDRAPPA (Channagiri).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether they have received representations to start a tourist centre at Santisagar in Channagiri Taluk ;

(*b*) whether they intend to start the same early in view of the facilities existing there ?

*A.—Sri R. M. PATIL (Minister for Home) —

(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) The proposal will be considered along with others.

Share of the Land Revenue due to the Group Gram Panchayat at Mache in Belgaum District.

*Q.—162. Sri V. S. PATIL (Belgaum-1).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether they are aware that the Group Gram Panchayat at Mache in Belgaum taluk consists of Mache, Kutalwadi and Zadsapur villages ;

(*b*) if so, whether they are aware that the share of Land Revenue due from Zadsapur and Kutalwadi villages have not been given to the Panchayat as yet;

(*c*) the reason for not paying the share of Land Revenue from those two villages yet;

(*d*) whether any representations have been made to the concerned officers in this regard;

(*e*) if so, the amount due to this Group Gram Panchnyat from those two villages till the end of 1963-64 ?

A.—Sri RAMAKRISHNA HEGDE (Minister for Development, Panchayat Raj and Co-operation).—

(*a*) The Groun Gram Panchayat at Mache consists of Mache, Kutalwadi, Zadsapur and Somanaiknahatti.

(*b*) It is reported that the share of L. R. due from Zadsapur and Kutalwadi villages has been paid to the Group Village Panchayat.

(*c*) Does not arise in view of the reply to clause (*b*) above.

(*d*) Yes.

(*e*) Nil.

Member's Representations.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಎಂ. ಕೃಷ್ಣ (ಮದ್ದೂರು).—ಸ್ವಾಮೀ,

ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ಇತರ ಕಾರ್ಯಕಲಾಪಗಳನ್ನು ಪ್ರಾರಂಭ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಮುಂಚೆ ತಮ್ಮ ಗಮನವನ್ನು ಹಾಗೂ ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ಗಮನವನ್ನು ಒಂದು ಮಹತ್ವವಾದ ವಿಚಾರದಬಗ್ಗೆ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಸಭೆಯ ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ. ನಮ್ಮ ರಾಜ್ಯದ ತುಮಕೂರು ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಗೆ ಸೇರಿದ ಬೆಳವತ್ತಿ ಎಂಬ ಹಳ್ಳಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಧಾರ್ಮಿಕ ಕಾರ್ಯಗಳು ನಾಳೆ ಬರುವ ಸೋಮವಾರದಂದು ನಡೆಯಬೇಕಾಗಿದ್ದವು. ಅದಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಎಲ್ಲ ಜಾಗಗಳಿಂದಲೂ ಜನ ಅಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ಬರುವುದರಲ್ಲಿದ್ದರು. ಆದರೆ ಇದರಲ್ಲ ನಮ್ಮ ರಾಜ್ಯ ಸರಕಾರದವರು ಮಧ್ಯೆ ತಲೆಹಾಕಿ ಇಲ್ಲಬೇ ಇದ್ದ ತೊಡಕೊಂದನ್ನು ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿರುವುದನ್ನು ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ತರಲಚ್ಚಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Should the House not follow some procedure ? By simply mentioning it to me, can I allow a discussion ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಎಂ. ಕೃಷ್ಣ.—ತಾವು ಇದನ್ನು ಡಿಸ್‌ಕಷನ್ನಿಗೂ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕು. ಅದೂ ಒಂದು ವಿಚಾರ. ಈ ಕೆಲಸದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಏನಾದರೂ ಒಂದು ತರದ ತೀರ್ಮಾನ ಬೇಗನೆ ಆಗಬೇಕು.

Mr. SPEAKER.—By oral requests of this type, what does the member expect ?

Sri S. M. KRISHNA.—I have made a written request also.

Mr. SPEAKER.—It contains no resolution, no question. It is neither an interpellation nor a short-notice question nor a Resolution or any thing of the type.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಎಂ. ಕೃಷ್ಣ.—ರಾಜ್ಯಾಂಗದ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಅದರ ಕೆಲವು ವಿಧಿಗಳನ್ನನುಸರಿಸಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುವಾಗ ಇಂತಹ ಮುಖ್ಯವಾದ ಧಾರ್ಮಿಕ ಭಾವನೆಗಳನ್ನುಳ್ಳ ಜನರ ಮೇಲೆ ರಾಜ್ಯ ಸರಕಾರ ಮಧ್ಯೇ ಪ್ರವೇಶಿಸುತ್ತಿರುವುದನ್ನು ನಾವು ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರತಿಭಟಿಸಬೇಕು. ಶಾಸನ ಸಭೆ ನಡೆಯುತ್ತಿರುವ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ರಾಜ್ಯ ಸರಕಾರ ಸ್ವೇಚ್ಛೆಯಾದ ರೀತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದರೆ ಅದನ್ನು ನಾವು ಆರಿತೂ ಸುಮ್ಮನೆ ಇರುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಆಗುತ್ತದೆಯೇ ? ಹೀಗಾದರೆ ಸರಕಾರದ ಮಾತುಗಳಿಗೆ ಏನು ಗೌರವವಿರುತ್ತದೆಯೇ ಎನ್ನುವುದನ್ನು ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಹೇಳಲು ಇಚ್ಛಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Hon'ble Member has suggested in his letter that fundamental rights are violated. Does he want the chair to break the Rules of Procedure? Let him give a Resolution or a short-notice question.

Sri S. M. KRISHNA.—My friend, Sri Ranganath has tabled a Short Notice Question.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Then it will have its effect.

Sri S. M. KRISHNA.—Some of the rules can be set aside to take up this urgent matter.

Mr. SPEAKER.—What does the member want me to do? Shall I order a Debate on that now? He has drawn the attention and achieved the purpose.

Sri V. S. PATIL (Belgaum I).—What is that matter going on between the Hon'ble Speaker and the Hon'ble Member?

Mr. SPEAKER.—I will explain. The Hon'ble member Sri Krishna wants to do something which is not in accordance with the Rules of Procedure.

Sri V. S. PATIL.—What is that something?

Mr. SPEAKER.—He referred to a certain incident that is said to have taken place or is going to take place somewhere. It must be either by means of an interpellation, Short Notice Question or a Resolution or Adjournment Motion. By merely mentioning I cannot create a situation out of nothing and say; 'let this be debated upon'. He said that Sri Ranganath had tabled a Short-notice Question, If so, it will come in at the appropriate time. He wanted to achieve his object by bringing this to the notice of the House. That he has done.

ಶ್ರೀ ಅಂಗಡಿ ಚನ್ನಬಸಪ್ಪ (ಹಡಗಲಿ).—ರೂಲ್ಸು ಆಫ್ ಪ್ರೊಸೀಜರು ಇಲ್ಲಿರುವುದು ಹೇಗಿದೆ ಎಂದರೆ ಇಂಗ್ಲೆಂಡಿನಲ್ಲಿರುವ 'ಡೂಮ್ಸ್ ಡೇ ಬುಕ್'ನಂತಿದೆ. ನನಗನಿಸುವುದು ಅಂತಹ ರೂಲುಗಳನ್ನು ಸಸ್‌ಪೆಂಡುಮಾಡಿ ಇಂತಹ ದುಃಖಸೂಚಕವಾದ ಹೇಳಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಹೇಳಲು ಅವಕಾಶ ಇರಬೇಕು. It is something like a 'Dooms Day Book' of England.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I have not seen it. I do not want to see it.

ಶ್ರೀ ಅಂಗಡಿ ಚನ್ನಬಸಪ್ಪ.—ನಮಗೆ ಅಡ್‌ಜರ್ನ್‌ಮೆಂಟು ಮೋಷನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾಲವಿಲ್ಲ. ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಇಂತಹ ಧಾರ್ಮಿಕ ಕೆಲಸಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ನುಮ್ಮನ ಕ್ಕೈಯಾಕಿ ಇಲ್ಲದೇ ಇರುವ ಹರಕತ್ತುಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ನಡೆಯುತ್ತಿರುವ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನು ತಡೆಯಲು ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಅಲ್ಲಿನ ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟು ಮ್ಯಾಜಿಸ್ಟ್ರೇಟುಗಳಾಗಿಲ್ಲ ಅಥವಾ ಬಿ೦ ಮ್ಯಾಜಿಸ್ಟ್ರೇಟುಕೂಡ ಅಲ್ಲ. ಆದರೆ ಅಲ್ಲರುವ ತಹಶೀಲ್ದಾರರೇ ಮ್ಯಾಜಿಸ್ಟ್ರೇಟು ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಹೊಂದಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಅವರು ಬೇಕಾಗಿದ್ದರೆ 144ನೇ ಸೆಕ್ಷನ್ನನ್ನು ಜಾರಿಗೆ ತರಬಹುದಾಗಿತ್ತು. ಆದಕ್ಕೆ ಬದಲು ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳೆಲ್ಲ ಬಂದು ಇಲ್ಲಿಂದ ಸ್ಪೆಷಲ್ಲರ್ರು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಬಂದು ಇಂತಹ ಧಾರ್ಮಿಕ ಕೆಲಸಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಅಡ್ಡಿ ಮಾಡಿದರೆ ಹೇಗೆ? ಇಂತಹ ಕಷ್ಟ ಪರಿಸ್ಥಿತಿ ಉಂಟಾಗಿದೆ. ಇಂತಹ ದುಃಖಸೂಚಕವಾದ ಕೆಲವು ಅಂಶಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ತಂದು ಅವರ ಗಮನವನ್ನು ಸೆಳೆಯಬೇಕು ಎಂದು ನನ್ನ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ ಇರಬೇಕು. ಇಂತಹ ಸಂದರ್ಭದಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವೂಕೂಡ ರೂಲನ್ನು ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ರಿಲ್ಯಾಕ್ಸ್ ಮಾಡುವಹಾಗೆ ಇಂತಹ ರೂಲುಗಳನ್ನು ಬೈಕ್ ಪ್ರಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಹೇಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇವೆ ಮತ್ತು ಅಸೆಂಬ್ಲಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಗಲಾಟೆ ಮಾಡಬೇಕು ಎನ್ನುವುದೂ ನಮ್ಮ ಉದ್ದೇಶವಲ್ಲ.

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ರೂಲ್ಸು ಇದ್ದರೂ ಗಲಾಟೆ ಮಾಡಬಹುದು ಎಂಬುದು ಸದಸ್ಯರ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ ವಲ್ಲವಷ್ಟೇ?

†ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಲಕ್ಕಪ್ಪ (ಹೆಬ್ಬೂರು).—ಸ್ವಾಮೀ,

ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಗೃಹ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಇಷ್ಟೊಂದು ಜನರು ಮಾಡುವ ಧಾರ್ಮಿಕ ಕೆಲಸಗಳಿಗೆ ವಿರೋಧವಾಗಿ ಯಾವ ರಿಪೋರ್ಟುಗಳನ್ನೂ ನೇರವಾಗಿ ತರಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳದೆ ಅಧಿಕಾರಗಳನ್ನು ಚಲಾಯಿಸುತ್ತಿರುವುದು ನಮ್ಮ ಕಾನ್ಸ್‌ಟಿಟ್ಯೂಷನ್ನಿಗೇ ವಿರೋಧವಾದುದೂ ಇಂತಹ ಲಕ್ಷಾಂತರ ಜನ ಸೇರಿ ಮಾಡತಕ್ಕಂಥ ದೇವತಾ ಕಾರ್ಯವನ್ನು ನಡೆಯು ಗೊಡದಿರುವುದು ಸರಿಯಲ್ಲ. ಇದಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಗೃಹ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಸೂಕ್ತವಾದ ನೆರವನ್ನು ನೀಡಬೇಕು ಎಂದು ನಾನು ತಮ್ಮ ಮೂಲಕ ಅವರನ್ನು ಕಳಕಳಿಯಿಂದ ಬೇಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—That is a prayer. Whether it will be granted or not by the Home Minister, I am not concerned with that.

Sri ANGADI CHANNABASAPPA.—The Chair may suggest to the Home Minister to consider the matter as early as possible because the function is going to come up on Monday.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Sri Angadi Channabasappa appealed to me and mentioned that the chair was repository of all the grievances and that if the chair did not try to help things, perhaps Member would feels that I was trying to adhere to the rules rigidly. He also stated that. Whenever such a situation arose, those rules should not be adhere to so rigidly and that some way out must be found. The position is this. There must be a provision for suspending a rule. If I am appealed to under that provision I can suspend as particular rule. What is the rule to be suspended ? There is no provision to say that by suspension of any rule or all rules put together, only matter can come up before the House unless it be in one of the known ways *i.e.*, a resolution, interpellation or the adjournment motion. Therefore the best way always is to give notice of it under some rule, so that I can apply my mind at once.

Sri S. SIVAPPA (Sravanabelagola).—Since the matter has been raised a ready and the House knows, what the issue is, since the Hon'ble Home Minister is here, the Home Minister can easily solve this problem by giving a reply.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I will certainly use the good office or the bad office I possess, but not on the Floor of the House, not in the Assembly now. I cannot do that. Therefore, we must know how to achieve what we want. Sri S. M. Krishna has cleverly and tactfully brought the matter to the notice of this House. We shall see how matters develop. I cannot do anything. I will have it examined. Now I want to know which member has given notice of this subject ?

Sri ANGADI CHANNABASAPPA.—No notice has been given, Sir.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Why not move in that direction? After all, it does not require a long time.

Sri G. DUGGAPPA.—I rise to a point of order. Under what provisions of the Rules of procedure of the House, is the Hon'ble Speaker allowing this discussion, Sir?

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Sir, he is questioning the authority of the Chair Is it a point of order ? The Chair is the supreme authority here. Is he the Speaker here ? We are addressing the Chair.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I am not in the least influenced by some member raising his voice or the tempo or even the temper. I take coolly all the words that are mentioned. Now a point of order has been raised by Sri G. Duggappa asking how I am allowing discussion in violation of the Rules. I want to ask him, is any discussion taking place now? They are making representations and are they not entitled to make representations? Is the Member entering the House for the first time today? Shall I get the previous records of proceedings and point out how many times...

Sri G. DUGGAPPA.—At no time the Chair has allowed the matter to go to this extent.

Sri K. LAKKAPPA.—He is questioning the ruling of the Chair, Sir.

Mr. SPEAKER.—If he is questioning the ruling of the Chair and so long as I am occupying the Chair, I can always take care of the prestige of the Chair.

Sri V. S. PATIL.—We have wasted, according to me, fifteen minutes of the valuable time of the House. Your ruling was that whenever anything was to be raised on the floor of the House, it must be through a proper notice—may be short-notice question, so many other ways. But this discussion has taken place without any notice, without any rule without any regulation, without the desire of the Chair. So, I should like to know who is to be held responsible for wasting fifteen minutes of this House and whether it is in order?

Mr. SPEAKER.—I strongly deprecate this kind of a thing happening at all. When the Hon'ble Member Sri Krishna got up, I told him that this item did not appear in the agenda, but still he wanted to impress upon me the importance, the gravity and the urgency of the matter. So far as the procedure is concerned, Sri V. S. Patil with the wealth of his experience as he is a senior parliamentarian, knows how in the past how much time was consumed, — I will not call it a waste, on matters uncovered by the Agenda. Does he feel that this is the first time that it is happening? I cannot apportion the liability for this—consumption of time according to me, 'wastage' according to him—and I say that all those that have participated are fully responsible. Negatively, I am not responsible, nor is the House responsible, because I tried my best to curb it.

Sri V. S. PATIL.—When anything is not allowed by the Rules of procedure, then it is the Chair's duty —I humbly submit Sir, to check it and stop it. Since the Chair has not stopped it immediately, the whole responsibility lies upon the Chair.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The hon'ble member has given me a good handle. I was only leading the Hon'ble Member to that position. All Members may please take notice that what is not included in the Agenda, under any circumstances, will not be permitted to be raised from tomorrow.

Sri G. V. GOWDA (Palya).—It is our right to make representations in case of need.